

Councillor Couros - MoN - Tram to North Adelaide

Tuesday, 25 March 2025
Council

Council Member
Councillor Mary Couros

Public

Contact Officer:
Tom McCready, Director City Infrastructure

MOTION ON NOTICE

Councillor Mary Couros will move a motion and seek a seconder for the matter shown below to facilitate consideration by the Council:

'That Council:

1. Noting the expansion in development within North Adelaide, with the new Aquatic Centre, 88 O'Connell Street and the potential introduction of LIV Golf which will see increased visitation, asks the Lord Mayor to:
 - 1.1. Formally write to the Premier seeking consideration of extending the tram network into O'Connell Street.
 - 1.2. Table the matter for discussion at the next Capital City Committee.
 - 1.3. Report back to Council with any feedback or outcomes resulting from these actions.'

ADMINISTRATION COMMENT

1. Adelaide Bridge in its current form has insufficient structural capacity to support a tram crossing and tram network into North Adelaide.
2. Council at its meeting on 14 June 2022, resolved to advocate for the extension of the existing King William Street tram line to O'Connell Street including renewal of the Adelaide Bridge.
3. Council also requested that the Lord Mayor write to the State Government for the extension of the King William Street tram line (or similar transport option such as trackless trams) to O'Connell Street North Adelaide. The letter was sent to the Premier on 22 August 2022 and can be viewed here [Link 1](#).
4. City Plan – Adelaide 2036 identifies Transport Diversity as one of our four City Plan priorities that is aimed at strengthening the urban spine of the city using a North Adelaide tram line to link attractions such as the Adelaide Aquatic Centre, Adelaide Zoo, and the O'Connell Street precinct.
5. Should Council resolve to support the proposed motion:
 - 5.1. A letter will be prepared for the Lord Mayor to the Premier of South Australia continuing to advocate for an extension of the current tram network into North Adelaide.
 - 5.2. The matter would be proposed for consideration at a future meeting of the Capital City Committee.
 - 5.3. Any responses from the State Government to the Lord Mayor's correspondence and the Capital City Committee will be provided to Council.

Should the motion be carried, the following implications of this motion should be considered. Note any costs provided are estimates only – no quotes or prices have been obtained:	
Public consultation	Not Applicable
External consultant advice	Not Applicable
Legal advice / litigation (eg contract breach)	Not Applicable
Impacts on existing projects	Not Applicable
Budget reallocation	Not Applicable
Capital investment	Not Applicable
Staff time in preparing the workshop / report requested in the motion	Not Applicable
Other	Not Applicable
Staff time in receiving and preparing this administration comment	To prepare this administration comment in response to the motion on notice took approximately 5 hours

- END OF REPORT -